

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION CO 1 DOCKETED 2 **COMMISSIONERS** MIKE GLEASON, Chairman 3 MAR 2 0 2008 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL 4 JEFF HATCH-MILLER DOCKETED BY KRISTIN K. MAYES 5 GARY PIERCE 6 DOCKET NO. C-00000A-07-0430 IN THE MATTER OF THE COMMISSION ON ITS OWN MOTION INVESTIGATING THE FAILURE 7 DECISION NO. 70199 OF NUMEROUS UTILITIES TO FILE ANNUAL REPORTS FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2005.

OPINION AND ORDER

DATE OF PRE-HEARING:

November, 19, 2007

DATE OF HEARING:

February 14, 2008

PLACE OF HEARING:

Phoenix, Arizona

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:

Marc E. Stern

APPEARANCES:

Mr. Kevin Torrey, Staff Attorney, Legal Division, on behalf of the Utilities Division of the Arizona

Corporation Commission.

# BY THE COMMISSION:

On August 28, 2007, the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") issued Decision No. 69887, a Complaint and Order to Show Cause ("Complaint" or "OSC") against the following named Respondents for failing to file their 2005 Utilities Division Annual Reports ("Annual Reports"):

20

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

l	DOCKET NO.	COMPANY
21	T-03092A	COMMONWEALTH LONG DISTANCE CO. dba CLD
22	T-03497A	CONNECTAMERICA, INC. dba CONNECT US OF DELAWARE
23	T-03961A	EARLAND E CASS
	T-03920A	EN-TOUCH SYSTEMS, INC.
24	T-04165A	EXERGY GROUP, LLC
25	T-03416A	GERLACH ENTERPRISES, INC. dba GCI
	T-04105A	GLOBAL INTERNETWORKING, INC.
26	W-02271A	GREEN ACRES WATER COMPANY
27	T-03068A	HEATH COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
	T-03174A	LIGHTYEAR COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
28	T-03853A	MAXCESS,INC.

1	W-02164A	MORRISTOWN WATER COMPANY
	T-04001A	MULTA COMMUNICATIONS, CORP dba MULTACOM
2	T-03505A	NIKEA COMMUNICATION, LLC
3	T-03989A	NTC NETWORK, LLC
	W-04069A	OATMAN WATER COMPANY, LLC
4	T-04005A	QUICK TEL, INC.
5	W-01407A	STRAWBERRY WATER COMPANY
	T-04000A	TELSEON CARRIER SERVICES, INC.
6	T-04070A	TOLL CALL, INC.
7	T-03439A	UNITED SERVICES TELEPHONE, LLC
	T-03998A	VISTA VOICE & DATA, INC.
8	T-03820A	W2COM INTERNATIONAL, LLC.
9	W-01084A	WILLIAM P. FARR dba SALOME WATER CO.1
7		

Therein, the Commission ordered a hearing to be conducted at a date and time set by the Hearing Division.

On September 7, 2007, by Procedural Order, a pre-hearing conference was scheduled on the Complaint against the above-named Respondents. The Commission's Utilities Division ("Staff") was ordered to file a Memorandum by November 12, 2007 to indicate which, if any, Respondents filed their 2005 Annual Reports so they could be dismissed from the proceeding.

On November 8, 2007, Staff filed its Memorandum indicating that as of that date three utilities, Green Acres Water Company ("GAW"), Oatman Water Company, L.L.C. ("OWC"), and Strawberry Water Company ("SWC"), had filed their 2005 Annual Reports and requested that they be dismissed from the proceeding. Staff further indicated that three other utilities, Earland E. Cass ("Cass"), Global Internetworking, Inc. ("GII") and Nickea Communication, L.L.C. had filed applications to cancel their Certificate(s) of Convenience and Necessity ("Certificate"), and requested that they also be dismissed from the proceeding.

On November 19, 2007, a pre-hearing conference was held at the Commission's offices in Phoenix, Arizona. Staff appeared with counsel. No appearances were entered by any of the Respondents.

On November 26 and December 19, 2007, by Procedural Order as amended, a hearing was

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This Respondent's name appears in error since his Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("Certificate") was revoked in Decision No. 64395 (January 31, 2002) for failing to file the 1999 Annual Report.

scheduled on February 14, 2008. Respondents GAWC, OWC and SWC were dismissed from the proceeding after filing their 2005 Annual Reports. Respondents Cass, GII and Nickea NCL were dismissed from the proceeding because they had filed applications to cancel their Certificate(s).

On February 14, 2008, a full public hearing was held by the Commission at its offices in Phoenix, Arizona before a duly authorized Administrative Law Judge of the Commission. Staff appeared with counsel. No other Respondents named in the proceeding appeared. At the conclusion of the hearing, the matter was taken under advisement pending submission of a Recommended Opinion and Order to the Commission.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the Commission finds, concludes, and orders that:

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Pursuant to authority granted by the Commission, the above-described Respondents were authorized to conduct business at various times in Arizona as public utilities.
- 2. On August 28, 2007, the Commission issued Decision No. 69887, a Complaint against the Respondents described above due to their failure to file their 2005 Annual Reports.
  - 3. Notice of the proceeding was given in the manner prescribed by law.
- 4. On November 8, 2007, Staff filed its Memorandum in which Staff's Chief of Compliance, Ms. Kim Batista, recommended that GAW, OWC and SWC should be dismissed from the proceeding because they had filed their 2005 Annual Reports. Ms. Batista further recommended that Cass, GII and NCL be dismissed because they had filed applications to cancel their Certificates. Ms. Batista testified during the hearing that Cass', GII's and NCL's Certificates will be cancelled in subsequent proceedings.
- 5. On December 19, 2007, by Amended Procedural Order, GAW, OWC, SWC, Cass, GII and NCL were dismissed from this proceeding.
- 6. Commission records reveal that of the above-named Respondents, Salome Water Company ("Salome") had its Certificate revoked by the Commission in Decision No. 64395.

However, Staff has confirmed that Salome is still providing public water service.

- 7. Staff has also confirmed that another Respondent, Morristown Water Company ("Morristown"), is still providing public water service.
- 8. On February 21, 2008, Staff filed another Memorandum in the proceeding in which it indicates that, according to the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality ("ADEQ"), both Salome and Morristown are providing water which meets the requirements of the Safe Drinking Water Act and water which is below the maximum contaminant level for arsenic. Additionally, Staff contacted the respective county agencies and verified that both companies are current in their property tax payments.
- 9. A number of the Procedural Orders which contained the notice of the proceeding's pre-hearing conference and the hearing that were mailed to the Respondents' last known address were returned to the Commission with notations such as "return to sender, no forwarding order on file", "moved, left no address, unable to forward", and "forwarding order expired".
- 10. Ms. Batista testified that the Complaint had been filed against the Respondents because the various utility companies and/or entities that are described above had failed to file their 2005 Annual Reports.
- 11. Ms. Batista indicated that the Commission sent out delinquent notices to all of the above-referenced utilities and/or entities to advise them that they had failed to file their 2005 Annual Reports. Following the mailing of the delinquent notices, personal phone calls to each of the utilities along with follow-up phone calls were made in an attempt to secure compliance with the requirement that the utilities file their 2005 Annual Reports. Staff has been unable to contact the 16 remaining telecommunications utilities and believes they are out of business.
- 12. Staff is recommending that the Certificates of the Respondents which have either failed to file their 2005 Annual Reports and/or failed to advise the Commission of their proper mailing addresses be revoked and the utility and/or entity be penalized.
- 13. Based upon our review of the record, we believe that Staff's recommendations should be adopted with respect to the above-described Respondents, the Certificates of the Respondents should be revoked and they should also be assessed financial penalties. However, if any affected

upon Staff's filing a notice of compliance, the Order of revocation and penalty as to that utility and/or entity should be rendered null and void without further Order by the Commission.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Respondent files, within 60 days of the effective date of this Decision, its 2005 Annual Report, then

- 1. The above-referenced Respondents are public service corporations within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-281, 40-282 and 40-252.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over the Respondents and of the subject matter of the Complaint herein.
- 3. The revocation of the Certificates of the remaining Respondents as described above would benefit the public interest.
- 4. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 40-425, the remaining Respondents should also each be penalized the sum of \$200 unless, within 60 days of the effective date of this Decision, the remaining Respondent files its 2005 Annual Report.

## **ORDER**

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Certificates of Convenience and Necessity of the remaining Respondents and the authorizations therein be revoked as follows:

1		
18	DOCKET NO.	COMPANY
19	T-03092A	COMMONWEALTH LONG DISTANCE CO. dba CLD
	T-03497A	CONNECTAMERICA, INC. dba CONNECT US OF DELAWARE
20	T-03920A	EN-TOUCH SYSTEMS, INC.
21	T-04165A	EXERGY GROUP, LLC
	T-03416A	GERLACH ENTERPRISES, INC. dba GCI
22	T-03068A	HEATH COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
23	T-03174A	LIGHTYEAR COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
	T-03853A	MAXCESS,INC.
24	W-02164A	MORRISTOWN WATER COMPANY
25	T-04001A	MULTA COMMUNICATIONS, CORP dba MULTACOM
23	T-03989A	NTC NETWORK, LLC
26	T-04005A	QUICK TEL, INC.
27	T-04000A	TELSEON CARRIER SERVICES, INC.
	T-04070A	TOLL CALL, INC.
28	T-03439A	UNITED SERVICES TELEPHONE, LLC
	N .	

DECISION NO. 70199

2	T-03998A VISTA VOICE & DATA, INC. T-03820A W2COM INTERNATIONAL, LLC.
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the above-named Respondents shall each be penalized the
4	sum of \$200 unless, within 60 days of the effective date of this Decision, the above-named
5	Respondent files its 2005 Utilities Division Annual Report with the Director of the Commission's
6	Utilities Division, then upon the Staff's filing a notice of compliance, said revocation and financial
7	penalty shall be null and void without further Order by the Commission.
8	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that William P. Farr dba Salome Water Company shall be
9	penalized the sum of \$200 for failure to file its 2005 Annual Report and for its continual disregard of
10	Commission Rules, Orders and Statues.
11	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.
12	BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.
13	/ [1/1 W 11 1 1 1 2 2
14	Lower & Gleason Will Willell
15	CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONER
16	Jan Gan Mien
17	COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, DEAN S. MILLER, Interim
19	Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the
20	Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this 20 day of Mull, 2008.
21	D. 1 Mill
22	////////////////////////////////////
23	DEAN'S MILLER INTERIM EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
24	
25	DISSENT
26	
27	DISSENT
28	MES: db
	1

2005 ANNUAL REPORTS 1 SERVICE LIST FOR: 2 C-00000A-07-0430 **DOCKET NO.:** 3 T-03092A COMMONWEALTH LONG DISTANCE CO. dba CLD 105 Carnegie Center 5 Princeton, NJ 08540 6 T-03497A CONNECTAMERICA, INC. 7 dba CONNECT US OF DELAWARE 1842 Centre Point Drive, Suite 128 8 Naperville, IL 60563 T-03068A HEATH COMMUNICATIONS, INC. 10 **COMMUNITY PAYPHONES** P.O. Box 86143 11 Tucson, AZ 85754 12 T-03174A LIGHTYEAR COMMUNICATIONS, INC. 13 1901 Eastpoint Parkway Louisville, KY 40223 14 W-02164A 15 MORRISTOWN WATER COMPANY P.O. Box 156 16 Morristown, AZ 85342 17 T-03989A NTC NETWORK, LLC 633 West 5<sup>th</sup> Street, 56<sup>th</sup> Floor 18 19 Los Angeles, CA 90071 20 T-04005A QUICK TEL, INC. 21 324 Trinity Place Elizabeth, NJ 07201 22 Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel 23 Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 24 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007 25 Ernest G. Johnson, Director 26 **Utilities Division** ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 27 1200 West Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007 28